Theorems for long division and root extraction

Viktor Krapivensky

January 15, 2021

1 Notations

 $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}.$

Three-by-two theorem $\mathbf{2}$

This theorem is extremely useful for basecase division.

Theorem 1 (three-by-two). Fix $B \in \mathbb{N}$, B > 1, the base of our positional number system. Fix also numbers $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $y \in \mathbb{R}$ such that:

- $0 < x < B^3$:
- $B < y < B^2$;
- x/y < B.

Define:

- $q = \lfloor \frac{x}{y} \rfloor$, the true quotient;
- $q_e = \lfloor \frac{\lfloor x \rfloor}{\lfloor y \rfloor} \rfloor$, our estimate of the quotient.

Then either $(q = q_e)$ or $(q = q_e - 1)$.

Proof.

Lemma 1. $q \leq q_e$.

- $\begin{array}{l} \textit{Proof. Define } \delta = x \lfloor x \rfloor; \text{ note that } 0 \leq \delta < 1. \\ \text{We have } \frac{x}{y} \leq \frac{x}{\lfloor y \rfloor} = \frac{\lfloor x \rfloor + \delta}{\lfloor y \rfloor}. \text{ Then } q = \lfloor \frac{x}{y} \rfloor \leq \lfloor \frac{\lfloor x \rfloor + \delta}{\lfloor y \rfloor} \rfloor. \\ \text{We now want to prove } \lfloor \frac{\lfloor x \rfloor + \delta}{\lfloor y \rfloor} \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{\lfloor x \rfloor}{\lfloor y \rfloor} \rfloor. \text{ Since } \delta < 1, \text{ for any integers } M, N, K, \text{ the following holds: } (M < KN) \Longrightarrow ((M + \delta) < KN). \\ \text{Substitute } M = \lfloor x \rfloor, N = \lfloor y \rfloor, K = \lfloor \frac{M}{N} \rfloor + 1. \end{array}$

Lemma 2. $\lfloor x \rfloor < B(\lfloor y \rfloor + 1)$. *Proof.* $\lfloor x \rfloor \le x < By < B(\lfloor y \rfloor + 1)$.

Define now the following values:

- $u = \lfloor x \rfloor;$
- $v = \lfloor y \rfloor;$
- $q_{\max} = \frac{u+1}{v};$
- $q_{\min} = \frac{u}{v+1}$.

Lemma 3. The following bounds hold:

1. $q_{\max} - \frac{u}{v} \le \frac{1}{B}$; 2. $\frac{u}{v} - q_{\min} < 1$. Proof. 1. $\frac{u+1}{v} - \frac{u}{v} = \frac{1}{v} \le \frac{1}{B}$; 2. $\frac{u}{v} - \frac{u}{v+1} = \frac{u}{v(v+1)}$. By lemma 2, u < B(v+1), so $\frac{u}{v} - q_{\min} < \frac{B(v+1)}{v(v+1)} = B/v \le 1$.

Lemma 4. $q \ge q_e - 1$.

Proof. We have:

- $q_{\min} < \frac{x}{u} < q_{\max};$
- $q_{\min} < \frac{u}{v} < q_{\max}$.

Taking floor of both sides of these inequalities, we get:

- $\lfloor q_{\min} \rfloor \leq q \leq \lfloor q_{\max} \rfloor;$
- $\lfloor q_{\min} \rfloor \leq q_e \leq \lfloor q_{\max} \rfloor.$

By lemma 3, $q_{\text{max}} - q_{\text{min}} < 1 + \frac{1}{B} < 2$. This means that $\lfloor q_{\text{max}} \rfloor - \lfloor q_{\text{min}} \rfloor$ is either 0, 1, or 2. We are only interested in the case of it being 2, as in other cases $(q \ge q_e - 1)$ holds automatically.

Suppose $\lfloor q_{\max} \rfloor - \lfloor q_{\min} \rfloor = 2$ and $q_e - q = 2$. Then,

- $\lfloor q_{\max} \rfloor = q_e = \lfloor \frac{u}{v} \rfloor$, which implies $\frac{u}{v} \ge q_e = q + 2$;
- $\lfloor q_{\min} \rfloor = q$, which implies $q_{\min} < q + 1$.

Together, these statements imply $\frac{u}{v} - q_{\min} > 1$, contradicting lemma 3. \Box

3 Approximation of inverse theorem

This theorem is useful for calculating the inverse of a number with Netwon's method; namely, it tells us how to find the initial approximation of the inverse.

Theorem 2 (approximation of inverse). Fix $B \in \mathbb{N}$, B > 1, the base of our positional number system. Fix then $n \in \mathbb{N}$, n > 0, the number of words in our initial approximation. Fix a number $x \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $B^n \leq x < B^{n+1}$. Define:

- $r = \frac{B^{2n}}{x}$, the true inverse (scaled up by 2n places);
- $r_e = \lfloor \frac{B^{2n}}{\lfloor x \rfloor + 1} \rfloor$, our estimate of the scaled-up inverse.

Then:

- $r 2 < r_e < r;$
- $B^{n-1} \leq r_e < B^n$.

Proof. $r_e < r$ is trivial: we increased the denominator $(\lfloor x \rfloor + 1 > x)$ and then took floor of the fraction.

Define now the following values:

• u = |x|;

•
$$r' = \frac{B^{2n}}{u+1}$$
.

Note that $r_e = \lfloor r' \rfloor$. Then $r - r' = \frac{B^{2n}}{u(u+1)} \leq \frac{B^{2n}}{B^{2n} + B^n} < 1$. Now we have

$$r - r_e = (r - r') + (r' - \lfloor r' \rfloor) < 1 + 1 = 2.$$

We can prove $B^{n-1} \leq r_e < B^n$ by substituting the maximum and minimum possible values of $\lfloor x \rfloor + 1$ into $r_e = \lfloor \frac{B^{2n}}{\lfloor x \rfloor + 1} \rfloor$. The maximum possible value, B^{n+1} , gives us $r_e \geq B^{n-1}$; and the minumum possible value, $B^n + 1$, gives us $r_e \leq B^n - 1$.

4 Root extraction

We are given $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and root order $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2$. We need to calculate $\lfloor \sqrt[n]{d} \rfloor$.

Define the "true" root $\xi = \sqrt[n]{d}$. Using unmodified Newton's method, we are going to iterate $y \mapsto \Phi(y)$, where

$$\Phi(y) = \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{d}{y^{n-1}} + (n-1) \cdot y \right)$$

If $y = \xi \cdot \delta$, then $\Phi(y) = \xi \cdot \varphi(\delta)$, where

$$\varphi(x) = \frac{1 + (n-1)x^n}{nx^{n-1}}.$$

Theorem 3 (icky). $1 < \varphi(x) < x$ for x > 1.

Proof. We have

$$\varphi(x) < x \Leftrightarrow 1 + (n-1)x^n < nx^n \Leftrightarrow 1 - x^n < 0.$$

Now we will prove $\varphi(x) > 1$.

 $\frac{1+(n-1)x^n}{nx^{n-1}} > 1 \Leftrightarrow (1+\varepsilon)^{n-1} (\varepsilon(n-1)-1) > -1, \text{ where } \varepsilon = x-1 > 0.$ Substituting $\lambda = \varepsilon(n-1) > 0$ and m = n-1, we get

$$(1+\frac{\lambda}{m})^m(\lambda-1) > -1.$$

The sequence $E_m = (1 + \frac{\lambda}{m})^m$ increases monotonically for $\lambda > 0$, and $\lim_{m \to \infty} E_m = e^{\lambda}$. This means $0 < (1 + \frac{\lambda}{m})^m < e$; we are now going to prove

$$e^{\lambda}(\lambda-1) > -1$$

for $\lambda > 0$.

The derivative $\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda}e^{\lambda}(\lambda-1) = e^{\lambda}\cdot\lambda$ is positive for $\lambda > 0$; and $e^{\lambda}(\lambda-1) = -1$ for $\lambda = 0$.

Theorem 4 (root extraction). Consider now the following process: we start with an arbitrary integer $y_0 \geq \xi$, and then, while $y_i > \xi$, put $y_{i+1} = |\Phi(y_i)|$. This process will terminate at some finite step $k \ge 0$ with $y_k = |\xi|$.

Proof. Note that $\Phi(y) = \xi \varphi(y/\xi)$.

Lemma 5. $|\Phi(y_i)| < y_i$ for any integer $y_i > \xi$.

Proof. $|\Phi(y_i)| \leq \Phi(y_i) < y_i$.

Lemma 6. If, for some integer y_i , we have $y_i > \xi$ and $y_{i+1} = \lfloor \Phi(y_i) \rfloor \leq \xi$, then $y_{i+1} = \lfloor \xi \rfloor$.

Proof. We have
$$y_{i+1} = |\Phi(y_i)| \le \xi < \Phi(y_i)$$
.

Note that $(y > \xi) \Leftrightarrow (y^n > d)$, and

$$\lfloor \Phi(y) \rfloor = \lfloor (\lfloor d/y^{n-1} \rfloor + (n-1) \cdot y)/n \rfloor.$$